

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,097	10/31/2003	Edward H. Overstreet	3013.0062 (05-00629-02)	3013.0062 (05-00629-02) 9705	
71418 7590 08/08/2007 ADVANTEDGE LAW GROUP , LLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
3301 N. UNIVERSITY AVE . SUITE 200 PROVO, UT 84604			HOLMES	HOLMES, REX R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3762		
				•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,097	OVERSTREET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rex Holmes	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed, the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	<u>ay 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/30/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,097 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carter et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,205,360 hereinafter "Carter").
- 4. Regarding claims 1-4 and 7, Carter discloses a multi-channel implantable cochlear stimulator intended to produce a neural response with an electrode array 5 adapted for implantation in a patient's inner ear used for applying electrical stimuli (Col. 5, II. 65-67). The electrode array 5 is also used to detect an evoked action potential (EAP) (Col. 6, II. 15-17). EAPs are measured for one channel of the electrode array 5 at a time, wherein "channels" comprise any number or combination of electrodes on the array 5, specifically two or more (Col. 6, II. 5-59). Once the EAP is sensed and determined then that value is used in setting the intensity of the stimulus for the device (Col. 6, II. 50-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,097

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 5-6, 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter as applied to claims 1-4 and 7 above, and further in view of Doyle, Sr. (U.S. Pat. 6,175,767).
- 8. Regarding claims 5-6, 8-15, Carter further discloses that once the intensity level is set for the first channel the entire procedure is used for each of the other stimulation channels. Carter further stores the threshold data in table 23 and then uses the data to alter the stimulation level of the stimulation channels (Col. 7, II. 3-5; Col. 5 II. 6-17; Col. 6, II. 64-66), but Carter does not plot the threshold data. However, Doyle Sr. teaches

that a strength-duration curve is used to relate threshold intensity levels to stimulation parameters necessary for axon activation (Col. 12, II. 49-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Carter to contour plot the threshold data stored in the patient storage table since it is well known to plot tabulated data in order to graphically depict variable relationships.

Terminal Disclaimer

9. The terminal disclaimer filed on 5/21/07 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Pat. 7,206,640 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rex Holmes whose telephone number is 571-272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,097

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rex Holmes Examiner

Art Unit 3762

George Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762 Page 5

2/5/1